PRIMORDIAL RESONANT LINES AND OBSERVATIONAL STRATEGY FOR ODIN AND HERSCHEL

R. Maoli[1], P. Chambaud[2], J.Y. Daniel[3], P. de Bernardis[1], P. Encrenaz[4], S. Masi[1], B. Melchiorri[1], F. Melchiorri[1], L. Pagani[4], P. Rosmus[2], and M. Signore[4]

[1]Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma, "La Sapienza", Piazzale Aldo Moro, 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy
[2]Laboratoire de Chimie Theorique, Université de Marne la Vallée, Champs sur Marne, France
[3]Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, CNRS, 98 bis Boulevard Arago, 75014 Paris, France
[4]LERMA, Observatoire de Paris, 61, Av. de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France

arXiv:astro-ph/0411641v1 23 Nov 2004

ABSTRACT

The presence of a primordial molecular or atomic medium can give us the possibility to investigate the first phases of structure formation. The lines produced by resonant scattering of the CMB photons are the most important signals coming from the *dark age* of the post–recombination universe. The possibility to detect these lines with ODIN and Herschel satellites is investigated.

Key words: cosmology, cosmic microwave background, high redshift universe.

1. INTRODUCTION

When the temperature of the universe drops below 3000 K, the atoms of hydrogen recombine and the universe becomes transparent to the cosmic microwave background (CMB) photons. A *dark age* from the observational point of view starts. This epoch lasts till the universe is reionized and photons–electrons interaction becomes effective again.

Following this scenario, the observed signal coming from primordial structures can be divided in three classes:
- **CMB primary anisotropies**: directly related to the matter perturbations at $z \sim 1100$;
- **CMB gravitational secondary anisotropies**: due to the interaction between the CMB photons and the gravitational field at $z < 1100$ (Rees–Sciama effect, integrated Sachs–Wolfe and gravitational lensing);
- **CMB post-reionization secondary anisotropies**: due to the interaction between the CMB photons and the newly available electrons (Vishniac and Sunyaev–Zeldovich effects).

In order to complete this list, we have to add interactions between the CMB photons and different chemical species (atoms, ions and molecules) that populate the cosmological medium. The abundance of these elements is not comparable to that one of free electrons in the reionized universe, nevertheless the interaction through resonant scattering is more efficient than Thomson scattering resulting in the production of a different kind of secondary anisotropies: **primordial resonant lines**.

The role of molecules in the early universe was first suggested by Dubrovich (1977). The consequences on the CMB anisotropies were described by Maoli and collaborators (Maoli et al. 1994, Maoli et al., 1996) with a first observational campaign at the 30 meter IRAM radiotelescope (de Bernardis et al., 1993). Recently the same mechanism has been applied to atoms and ions (Basu et al., 2004).

The primordial cosmological medium can dump CMB primary anisotropies and produce lines through moving scattering sources in the pre–reionization universe as well as in the post-reionization one.

2. CHEMISTRY OF THE PRIMORDIAL UNIVERSE

In order to quantify the effect of primordial resonant lines we have to investigate which kind of chemical species presumably populated the cosmological medium at high redshifts. Assuming a homogeneous Standard Big Bang Nucleosynthesis model, we have a different composition for the pre–reionization cosmological medium and the post–reionization one: the former has atoms, ions and molecules issued from the light elements formed during the primordial nucleosynthesis, while the latter can have also chemical species from heavier elements.

2.1. Primordial chemistry

The chemistry of the pre–reionization universe follows the primordial nucleosynthesis when the temperature of the universe decreased enough to allow chemical reactions. In the standard Big Bang model the light elements (hydrogen, deuterium, helium and lithium) are synthesized in the very early universe when $T \sim 0.1$ MeV and $t \sim 100$ s.

The chemistry of these light elements begins around the recombination epoch. The final results are very uncertain due to the high number of the involved chemical reactions and the difficulty to compute or to measure all the reaction rates in the typical conditions of the early universe (Puy and Signore, 2002). For example the final abundances for LiH molecules can be different by six orders of magnitude following different papers (Lepp and Shull, 1984, Stancil, Lepp and Dalgarno, 1996).

2.2. Chemistry of heavy elements

Heavy elements are synthesized in possible population III stars and later in population II stars (mainly during the later stages of their evolution i.e. when they become supernovae).

When quasars appeared in the universe they are believed to have reionized the medium. For long time quasars with a break at the hydrogen reionization energy has been searched in order to prove that there is some intervening neutral material. Is is the well known Gunn Peterson (GP) test.

While it is clear that quasars provide a significant contribution to the ionising background at low redshift ($z \sim 3$), it is difficult to think that they are capable to maintain the ionized state of the cosmological medium at $z = 6 - 8$. Moreover, a possible explanation for the wide spread abundances of heavy elements in the intergalactic medium today can be provided by an early star formation dominated by very massive stars (the so called population III stars). This population adds its contribution to that one of later population II stars. Until recently there was a consensus about a relatively late ionization epoch which was suggested in particular by the observation of a full GP trough in a quasar at z=6.28 in the SDSS (Becker et al., 2001).

This was the situation until the release of the first year of WMAP data (Kogut et al., 2003). In these data the high optical depth to Thomson scattering ($\tau \sim 0.17 \pm 0.04$) implies an ionization redshift of 17 ± 3 under the assuption that reionization occurs abruptly.

A priori there are no simple reionization models which are consistent with the combination of the WMAP results and data from the low redshift universe ($z \leq 6$). Reionization clearly does not occur through a single rapid phase transition. At least one must modify the simplest model by adding a second transition.

A lot of scenarios appear in the last year. Anyway, after WMAP, there is evidence for an early generation of stars existing 4 to 5 times earlier than any object yet observed.

Heavy elements can play a major role in the medium composition starting from $z = 20 - 25$.

3. INTERACTION BETWEEN THE CMB PHOTONS AND THE PRIMORDIAL COSMOLOGICAL MEDIUM

Being the primordial medium non collisional and in equilibrium with radiation, absorption and emission processes are ineffective and resonant elastic scattering must be considered as the most efficient in coupling matter and radiation. In this process, a photon is absorbed by an atom, an ion or a molecule at a frequency ν_{ij} corresponding to a line transition of the considered chemical species and reemitted at the same frequency.

The cross section for this process is given by the formula:

$$\sigma_{res} = \frac{\lambda_{ij}^3 A_{ij}}{4c} |\vec{d}|^2 \frac{\nu_{ij}}{\Delta\nu} = 3.37 \cdot 10^{-19} |\vec{d}|^2 \frac{\nu_{ij}}{\Delta\nu} \quad (1)$$

where λ_{ij} and ν_{ij} are the wavelenghts and the frequency of the transition, $\Delta\nu$ is the broadening of the line (Doppler broadening in the non collisional primordial medium), $\vec{d}$ is the dipole moment of the species in Debye.

For a dipole moment of order of unity and typical line widths $\frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu} = 10^{-4} - 10^{-6}$, the resonant scattering is $10^{10} - 10^{12}$ times more efficient than Thomson scattering ($\sigma_T = 6.652 \cdot 10^{-25}\,\mathrm{cm}^2$). This explains why the coupling with atomic or molecular medium can be stronger than the coupling with electrons in spite of the low abundance of the former. With a dipole moment of 5.88 Debye, the LiH molecule is a very good candidate for an effective interaction with CMB photons. Unfortunately its abundance seems to be very low.

Being the resonant scattering an elastic process, it can result in a CMB primary anisotropy attenuation and secondary anisotropy production, where chemical species play exactly the same role as electrons in the reionized universe. Smearing of the primary anisotropies is very small but could be marginally detected by future CMB experiments using its peculiar frequency dependence behaviour (Maoli et al., 1994). Secondary anisotropies raise if the scattering source has a non zero component of the peculiar velocity along the line of sight. In this case the elastic scattering is no more isotropic in the observer frame.

The strong frequency dependence of the cross section is responsible for the differences between molecu-

lar (or atomic) secondary anisotropies and those one produced by Thomson scattering in an early reionized universe: we have molecular (or atomic) primordial lines instead of fluctuations of the cosmic black body temperature. These lines can be emission–like or absorption–like depending on the sign of the radial peculiar velocity of the scattering source.

The signal is given by the formula:

$$\frac{\Delta I}{I_{CMB}} = \left(1 - e^{-\tau_{ij}}\right) \beta_{p,r} \left(3 - \alpha_\nu\right) \tag{2}$$

where $\beta_{p,r}$ is the radial component of the peculiar velocity normalized to the speed of light, α_ν is the spectral index of the photon distribution, and τ_{ij} is the optical depth of the scattering source computed at the observational frequency:

$$\tau_{ij} = \int_{source} \sigma_{res,ij} n_i c \, dt \tag{3}$$

where n_i is the numerical density of the species in the state i.

Detection of primordial resonant lines is comparable to Lyman–α cloud detection using radiation coming from high redshift quasars. In our case, Lyman–α clouds are substituted by primordial structures, while the role of quasar is played by the CMB. For primordial lines, absorption can't be efficient because of the temperature of the CMB, so only elastic processes can be considered. As a consequence, also a peculiar velocity is needed to produce the signal.

4. OBSERVATIONAL STRATEGY FOR PRIMORDIAL RESONANT LINES DETECTION

4.1. Which kind of instrument?

Due to the spectral behaviour of the resonant scattering cross section, what we are looking for are spectral lines. For a fixed observational frequency, ν_{obs}, the source producing the line must be only at a fixed redshift given by the formula:

$$z_s = \frac{\nu_{ij}}{\nu_{obs}} - 1 \tag{4}$$

where ν_{ij} is the frequency of the line transition. As an example, considering the LiH molecule, its first five rotational states, and ν_{obs} = 100 GHz, only sources at z_s=3.44, 7.87, 12.29, 16.70 and 21.08 can give a contribution to the signal. Now, the probability to have, along the line of sight more than one source, at these given redshifts, with the dynamical conditions (peculiar velocity, molecular or atomic abundance) necessary to produce the signal, is very low.

As a consequence, we have not to consider signal superposition but we look for a single spectral line produced by a well identified primordial source. The detection of this line will give us the indication of the existence of a protostructure at a given redshift. The properties of the line will provide us indications on the mass, peculiar velocity and chemical composition of this source, allowing us to constrain structure formation models.

A high sensitivity spectrometer working in the millimeter and submillimeter range is needed.

4.2. Band width

Improving the band width will improve the explored redshift range $\left(\frac{\Delta z}{z} = \frac{\Delta \nu_{obs}}{\nu_{obs}}\right)$ and the probability to detect a primordial line will be higher. At a certain point the redshift range explored by a line will superpose the redshift range of the next line and we will be sensible to the signal produced by a source at any redshift. The band width necessary to fulfill this condition depends on the considered chemical species and the observational frequency.

For example, to explore a redshift range between 10 and 25, considering the LiH molecule, we need a band with of 40 GHz for ν_{obs} = 100 GHz as well as for ν_{obs} = 500 GHz. For the lowest frequency this is certainly a technical challenge but the expected signal is higher because low rotational transitions are concerned, while for the highest frequency this band is easier to be obtained but the signal will be lower being produced by high rotational lines.

Another advantage of a broad band width is the possibility to detect two different lines produced by the same molecule (or atom) and the same source. This is the best way to check the origin of the line.

4.3. Angular and spectral resolution

Computation has been done for the line amplitude, the line width and the angular size typical of a molecular signal produced during the linear evolution, the turn–around phase and the beginning of the non linear collapse of a proto–structure.

During the linear evolution of the primordial cloud, the perturbation follows the expansion of the universe; different parts of the cloud fulfill the resonant condition for different redshifts. The line width therefore depends on the extension in redshift of the perturbation, i.e. on its mass and redshift. Primordial lines produced in this evolutionary phase will be very broad: $\frac{\Delta \nu}{\nu}$ can be as high as 0.01 for $10^{14}\, M_\odot$ perturbations at high redshifts. Unfortunately the intensity is very low and the detection is penalized comparing with primordial lines produced in a more advanced evolutionary phase.

When the primordial cloud stops following the expansion of the universe (turn–around), all the scattered photons contribute to the signal at the same

frequency. The line width is due to the thermal broadening and is very small (a few in 10^{-6} for $\frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu}$). Sources at turn–around produce the strongest and thinnest primordial lines.

During the non-linear collapse two different velocities have to be considered: the peculiar velocity β_p of the cloud and its infall velocity β_c. Using a simple model of spherical collapse, only a strip of the cloud having the same projection of the infall velocity along the line of sight will contribute at the same observational frequency. The line width is roughly twice the maximal infall velocity and the line shape depends on the ratio $\frac{\beta_p}{\beta_c}$; if β_p is negligible, we have a double peak line.

This is the only signal emitted by a no moving primordial cloud and it is the consequence of the strong frequency dependence of the resonant scattering cross section; in the same situation the Thomson scattering will not produce any signal.

The double peak feature progressively disappears when the peculiar velocity becomes more important. Typical line width is $10^{-5} - 10^{-3}$ in $\frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu}$.

Angular sizes of a primordial cloud at turn–aroud or during the non–linear collapse can range from 10 arcseconds to a few arcminutes.

4.4. Foreground contamination

CMB measurements are limited by the presence of foregrounds. Galactic dust emission, bremstrahlung and synchrotron as well as thermal S–Z effect from clusters and high redshift thermal emission by dust are all possible sources of contamination. Multi–frequency experiments are needed to compute the foreground contribution and to extract the CMB signal.

Primordial resonant line observations are completely free from this kind of problem: due to the resonant scattering spectral nature, all these foregrounds will represent a small, completely negligible, perturbation of the baseline. Lines produced by the interstellar medium or extragalactic sources can be easily identified by looking for other lines of the same chemical species.

4.5. Observational situation

First searches for primordial resonant lines were performed few years ago using the 30 meter IRAM telescope at Pico Veleta (Spain). Observations were performed at three different frequencies in the 1.3mm, 2mm and 3mm atmospheric windows. They were characterized by a small band width (2×500 MHz) and concerned only five spots in the sky. In this case we obtained only upper limits for the abundance of the considered chemical species as a function of the redshift for small interval in z and in a given direction.

New observations are planned using ODIN satellite. This is a Swedish satellite, developed in collaboration with France, Finland and Canada, launched in 2001 and equipped with a 1.1 meter telescope. Two sky regions will be observed between 490 and 520 GHz with a resolution of 1 MHz and a sensitivity of 1 mK over 10 MHz. The great improvement of these observations is the broadness of the band, available only for space experiments because of the atmospheric absorption. In this way a wide range of redshifts will be explored.

The future for primordial resonant lines is represented by Herschel, the ESA satellite, that will allow to observe a statistical representative area in the sky with a large band width and high sensitivity.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Primordial resonant lines are probably the main tool to explore the pre–reionization and the post–reionization universe. The very rich information associated with spectral lines can help to understand the formation and evolution of structures as well as the history of the metal enrichment of the high redshift cosmological medium.

In this paper we concentrated to the observational strategy to detect these lines produced by nearly formed structures. In this case the spectral nature of the signal makes it almost free from galactic and extra–galactic contaminations.

Signals associated with a linear evolution phase of perturbations are weaker and broader and their detection would be possible, as well as the primary anisotropy smearing, with millimetric multi-frequency photometers under the condition of a very high sensitivity and a very precise characterisation of foregrounds. This last requirement seems to be very challenging.

REFERENCES

Basu K., Hernández–Monteagudo C., Sunyaev R., 2004, A&A 416, 447

Becker R.H., et al., 2001, Astron. J. 122, 2850

de Bernardis P., et al., 1993, A&A 269, 1

Dubrovich V.K., 1977, Soviet Astron. Lett. 3, 128

Kogut A., et al., 2003, ApJS 148, 161

Lepp S., Shull M.J., 1984, ApJ 280, 465

Maoli R., Melchiorri F., Tosti D., 1994, ApJ 425, 372

Maoli R., et al., 1996, ApJ 457, 1

Puy D., Signore M., 2002, New Astron. Rev. 46, 709

Stancil P.C., Lepp S., Dalgarno A., 1996, ApJ 458, 401